

# THE HOWE DUALITY AND THE PROJECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS OF SYMMETRIC GROUPS

ALEXANDER SERGEEV

**ABSTRACT.** The symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  possesses a nontrivial central extension, whose irreducible representations, different from the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  itself, coincide with the irreducible representations of the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  generated by indeterminates  $\tau_{i,j}$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{i,j} &= -\tau_{j,i}, \quad \tau_{i,j}^2 = 1, \quad \tau_{i,j}\tau_{k,l} = -\tau_{k,l}\tau_{i,j} \text{ if } \{i,j\} \cap \{k,l\} = \emptyset; \\ \tau_{i,j}\tau_{j,k}\tau_{i,j} &= \tau_{j,k}\tau_{i,j}\tau_{j,k} = -\tau_{i,k} \text{ for any } i, j, k, l. \end{aligned}$$

Recently M. Nazarov realized irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  and Young symmetrizers by means of the Howe duality between the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$  and the Hecke algebra  $H_n = \mathfrak{S}_n \circ C_n$ , the semidirect product of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with the Clifford algebra  $C_n$  on  $n$  indeterminates.

Here I construct one more analog of Young symmetrizers in  $H_n$  as well as the analogs of Specht modules for  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  and  $H_n$ .

## §1. INTRODUCTION

Lately we witness an increase of interest in the study of representations of symmetric groups. In particular, in their projective representations.

Recall that the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  has a nontrivial central extension whose irreducible representations do not reduce to those of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  but coincide (may be identified) with the irreducible representations of the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  determined by generators  $\tau_{i,j}$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{i,j} &= -\tau_{j,i}, \quad \tau_{i,j}^2 = 1, \quad \tau_{i,j}\tau_{k,l} = -\tau_{k,l}\tau_{i,j} \text{ if } \{i,j\} \cap \{k,l\} = \emptyset; \\ \tau_{i,j}\tau_{j,k}\tau_{i,j} &= \tau_{j,k}\tau_{i,j}\tau_{j,k} = -\tau_{i,k} \text{ for any } i, j, k, l. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

In [N1] Nazarov realized irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  by means of an orthogonal basis constructed in each of the spaces of the representations and indicating the action of the generators  $\tau_{i,i+1}$  on them (an analog of the Young orthogonal form). In [N2], with the help of an “odd” analog of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra Nazarov constructed elements of the algebra  $H_n = \mathfrak{S}_n \circ C_n$ , the semidirect product of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with the Clifford algebra  $C_n$  on  $n$  indeterminates. The elements of  $H_n$  serve as analogs of Young symmetrizers.

Here I construct one more analog of Young symmetrizers in  $H_n$  as well as analogs of Specht modules (cf. [Ja]) for the algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  and  $H_n$ . This construction is based on another form of expression of Young symmetrizers for  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ .

Namely, let  $t$  be a Young tableau (i.e., a Young diagram filled in with numbers 1 to  $n$ ),  $R_t$  and  $C_t$  the row and column stabilizers of  $t$ ;

$$\rho_t = \sum_{\sigma \in R_t} \sigma, \quad \kappa_t = \sum_{\sigma \in C_t} \varepsilon(\sigma) \sigma.$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C30, 20C25, 17A70.

*Key words and phrases.* Projective representations, symmetric group, Howe duality.

I am thankful to D. Leites for support and help.

Then, up to a constant factor, the Young symmetrizer of  $t$  is

$$e_t = \kappa_t \rho_t. \quad (1.2)$$

Let us represent the Young symmetrizer differently. Let  $I$  be the sequence obtained by reading the tableau  $t$  along columns left to right and downwards. For each  $i \in I$  the *Jucys–Murphy elements* ( $[J]$ ,  $[M]$ ) are defined to be

$$x_i = \sum_{\alpha \text{ preceeds } i} s_{\alpha i}, \text{ where the } s_{\alpha i} \in \mathfrak{S}_n \text{ are transpositions.}$$

One can verify that the  $x_i$  commute with each other. Set

$$\tilde{\kappa}_t = \prod_{i \in I} (j - x_i), \text{ where } j \text{ is the number of the column occupied by } i.$$

It is subject to a direct verification that  $e_t$  can be also expressed as

$$e_t = \tilde{\kappa}_t \rho_t. \quad (1.3)$$

I use this other representation of the Young symmetrizers to construct the corresponding elements in  $H_n$  and prove that theyse corresponding elements are idempotents that generate isotypical ideals. With the help of these elements and their analogs for  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  I construct a realization of multiples of irreducible modules similar to Specht modules, cf. [Ja].

The proofs are based on the Howe duality between Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$  and  $H_n$ .

## §2. BACKGROUND

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  be the symmetric group,  $C_n$  the Clifford algebra generated by  $n$  indeterminates  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  subject to the relations

$$p_i^2 = -1, \quad p_i p_j + p_j p_i = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

The symmetric group acts on  $C_n$  permuting the generators, so we can form a semidirect product  $H_n = \mathfrak{S}_n \circ C_n$ . Set

$$\tau_{i,j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_i - p_j)s_{i,j}.$$

As is not difficult to verify, the relations (1.1) hold; hence, the algebra generated by the  $\tau_{i,j}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ . Besides,  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  supercommutes with  $C_n$ ; hence,  $H_n = \mathfrak{A}_n \otimes C_n$ , as *superalgebras* if we define parity in  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  by setting  $p(\tau_{i,j}) = \bar{1}$ .

Let  $V$  be a superspace of superdimension  $(n, n)$  with the fixed basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n \cup \{e_{\bar{i}}\}_{\bar{i}=1}^{\bar{n}}$  and the odd operator

$$Q : e_i \mapsto (-1)^{\bar{i}} e_{\bar{i}}; \quad e_{\bar{i}} \mapsto e_i.$$

The (super)centrilizer of  $Q$  in  $\text{Mat}(V)$  is denoted by  $Q(V)$ , cf. [BL]. We denote the Lie superalgebras associated with the associative superalgebras  $\text{Mat}(V)$  and  $Q(V)$  by  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  and  $\mathfrak{q}(V)$ , respectively.

As is shown in [S1], the algebras  $\mathfrak{q}(V)$  and  $H_k$  constitute a Howe-dual pair in the superspace  $W = V^{\otimes k}$ . Therefore, the following decomposition takes place:

$$W = \bigoplus_{\lambda} 2^{-\delta(\lambda)} T^{\lambda} \otimes V^{\lambda}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\lambda$  runs over strict partitions of  $k$ ,  $T^{\lambda}$  is an irreducible (in supersence)  $H_k$ -module,  $V^{\lambda}$  an irreducible  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ -module and  $\lambda_{n+1} = 0$  and where

$$\delta(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if the number of nonzero parts of } \lambda \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Select a basis in  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ : let the  $e_i^*$  be the left dual basis to the  $\{e_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n; \bar{1} \dots \bar{n}$ ; set

$$E_{i,j} = e_i \otimes e_j^* + e_{\bar{i}} \otimes e_{\bar{j}}^*; \quad F_{i,j} = e_i \otimes e_j^* + e_{\bar{i}} \otimes e_{\bar{j}}^*.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}(E_{i,i} \text{ and } F_{i,i} : i = 1, \dots, n)$  is a Cartan subalgebra in  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{b} = \text{Span}(E_{i,j} \text{ and } F_{i,j} : i \leq j)$  is a Borel subalgebra, cf. [Pe].

If  $\lambda$  is a strict partition and  $\lambda_{n+1} = 0$ , then  $\lambda$  can be interpreted as a linear functional on  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ : set

$$\lambda(E_{ii}) = \lambda_i. \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $R^\lambda$  be the  $H_k$ -module equal to the direct sum of  $2^{(l(\lambda) - \delta(\lambda))/2}$  copies of  $T^\lambda$ . It is not difficult to see that  $R^\lambda$  coincides with the set of  $\mathfrak{b}$ -highest weight vectors of weight  $\lambda$  in  $W$ .

**2.1. Lemma .** *Let  $\mu$  be a strict partition,  $\mu_{n+1} = 0$ . Let  $V^\mu$  be an isotypical modul of type  $\mu$  and  $n_\mu$  the multiplicity of the highsest weight vector in  $V^\mu$ . Then the highest weights of  $V^\mu \otimes V$  are of the form  $\mu + \varepsilon_i$ , where the  $\varepsilon_i$  are the weights of  $V$ , and their multiplicity is equal to  $2n_\mu$ .*

Proof follows easily from the multiplication table of the projective Schur functions, see [P].  $\square$

**2.2. Lemma .** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}(n)$ ;  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  be defined as above and  $V$  a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Let  $V_\lambda^+$  be the set of  $\mathfrak{b}$ -highest vector of weight  $\lambda$ .*

*If  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $uV_\lambda^+ \subset V_\lambda^+$ , then there exists  $w \in U(\mathfrak{h})$  such that  $u|_{V_\lambda^+} = w|_{V_\lambda^+}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u = \sum u_\alpha$  be the weight decomposition of  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ , the even part of the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Therefore, if  $v \in V_\lambda$ , then  $uv = \sum_\alpha u_\alpha v$  and, if  $u_\alpha v \neq 0$ , then the weight of  $u_\alpha v$  is equal to  $\lambda + \alpha$ . Thus, we may assume that  $u = u_0 \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}_0}$ , where  $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}_0}$  is the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

Thanks to [S2], we know that  $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}_0} \cong U(\mathfrak{h}) \oplus L$ , where  $L = U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}_0} \cap U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{b}^+$ , where  $\mathfrak{b}^+$  is the linear span of the positive roots in  $\mathfrak{b}$ , is a twosided ideal in  $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}_0}$ .

Hence,  $u = w + u_1$ , where  $w \in U(\mathfrak{h})$  and  $u_1 \in L$ ; this implies that  $uv = wv$  for  $v \in V_\lambda$ .  $\square$

### §3. SPECHT MODULES OVER $H_k$

Let  $\lambda$  be a strict partition and  $t$  the shifted tableau of the form  $\lambda$ , where  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_n > 0$  and  $\sum \lambda_i = k$ . Let us fill in the tableau with the numbers 1 to  $k$  and define the functional  $\lambda$  on the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  by setting

$$\lambda(E_{ii}) = \lambda_i; \quad \lambda(F_{ii}) = 0.$$

In  $W = V^{\otimes k}$ , where  $V$  is the standard  $\mathfrak{q}(V)$ -module of dimension  $(n, n)$ , consider the submodule  $M^\lambda$  consisting of the vectors of weight  $\lambda$ .

Again, let  $R_t$  be the row stabilizer of  $t$  and  $\rho_t = \sum_{\sigma \in R_t} \sigma$ . Let  $I$  be the sequence obtained by reading the tableau  $t$  downwards and from left to right. For  $i \in I$  define:  $\pi_i = \sum \tau_{\alpha, i}$ , where the sum runs over all the  $\alpha$ 's,  $\alpha \in I$ , that preceed  $i \in I$ .

It is subject to a direct verification that

$$\pi_i \pi_j + \pi_j \pi_i = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

These are odd analogs of the Jucys-Murphy elements. Set

$$\kappa_t = \prod_{i \in I} \left( \frac{1}{2} j(j+1) - \pi_i^2 \right),$$

where  $j$  is the number of the column occupied by  $i$ .

**3.1. Theorem .** *Let  $v_t \in M^\lambda$  be the vector whose stabilizer is  $R_t$ . Then  $\kappa_t(v_t) \neq 0$ ,  $\kappa_t(v_t) \in R^\lambda$  and  $\kappa_t(M^\lambda) = C_k \kappa_t(v_t)$ .*

*Proof.* Induction on  $\sum \lambda_i = k$ . It suffices to assume that  $t$  is filled in consequently columnwise, from left to right and downwards. Let  $s$  be the tableau obtained from  $t$  by deleting the last cell and  $\mu$  the corresponding partition while  $l$  is the length of the last column and  $r$  is its number. Then  $\kappa_t = \kappa_s \cdot \kappa_k$ , where  $\kappa_s$  corresponds to tableau  $s$  and  $\kappa_k = \frac{1}{2}r(r+1) - \pi_k^2$ .

By induction,  $\kappa_s(M^\mu) = C_{k-1} \kappa_s(v_s) \subset R^\mu$ . Therefore,  $\kappa_s(M^\nu) = 0$  for any  $\nu > \mu$  ordered with respect to *dominance*, cf. [M]. Hence,

$$\kappa_s(M^\lambda) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \kappa_s(M^{\lambda-\varepsilon_i}) \otimes e_i.$$

If  $i > l$ , then  $\lambda - \varepsilon_i > \lambda - \varepsilon_l = \mu$  and, by induction,  $\kappa_s(M^{\lambda-\varepsilon_i}) = 0$ . Hence,

$$\kappa_s(M^\lambda) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \kappa_s(M^{\lambda-\varepsilon_i}) \otimes e_i.$$

The inequality  $\nu \geq \lambda - \varepsilon_i$  true for  $i < l$  implies that  $\nu \geq \mu$ , so the same irreducible  $H_{k-1}$ -modules enter the decomposition of  $M^{\lambda-\varepsilon_i}$  as those that enter that of  $M^\mu$ . Therefore, if  $m_i \in M^{\lambda-\varepsilon_i}$  and  $\kappa_s(m_i) \neq 0$ , then there exist  $m \in M^\mu$  and a homomorphism  $\varphi_i : M^\mu \rightarrow M^{\lambda-\varepsilon_i}$  such that

$$\varphi_i(m) = m_i.$$

Applying  $\kappa_s$  to this identity we get

$$\kappa_s(m_i) = \kappa_s(\varphi_i(m)) = \varphi_i(\kappa_s(m)) \in C_{k-1} \kappa_s(v_s).$$

The Howe duality between  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$  and  $H_{k-1}$  allows us to assume that  $\varphi_i \in U(\mathfrak{q}(n))$ . This proves that  $\kappa_s(M^\lambda) \subset V^\mu \otimes V$ , where  $V^\mu$  is the  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ -submodule of  $V^{\otimes(k-1)}$  generated by  $\kappa_s(M^\mu)$ . By Lemma 2.1 the highest weights of  $V^\mu \otimes V$  are of the form  $\mu + \varepsilon_i$ , so the possible weights are only

$$\mu + \varepsilon_1, \mu + \varepsilon_l = \lambda \text{ and } \mu + \varepsilon_i < \mu + \varepsilon_l \text{ if } i > l.$$

So the submodule generated by the weights  $\mu + \varepsilon_i$  for  $i > l$  does not contain weight  $\lambda$ ; hence, neither does it contain  $\kappa_s(M^\lambda)$ .

Therefore,  $\kappa_s(M^\lambda)$  is contained in the submodule generated by the highest weight vectors of weight  $\mu + \varepsilon_1$  and  $\mu + \varepsilon_l$ . By Lemma 2.1 all the highest weight vectors form a free  $C_k$ -module with two generators whose weights are  $\mu + \varepsilon_1$  and  $\mu + \varepsilon_l$ ; so in each of these submodules the operator  $\pi_k^2$  acts by multiplying by a constant. Let  $v \in V^{\otimes(k-1)}$  and  $e_i \in V$ . Then it is not difficult to verify that

$$\pi_k(v \otimes e_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (F_{ij} - p_k E_{ij})(v \otimes e_i),$$

where  $p_i$  is the change of parity operator in the  $i$ -th factor of  $V^{\otimes k}$ .

If  $v$  is a highest weight vector of weight  $\mu$ , then  $v \otimes e_1$  is a highest weight vector of weight  $\mu + \varepsilon_1$  and

$$\pi_k(v \otimes e_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (F_{11} - p_k E_{11})(v \otimes e_1),$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k^2(v \otimes e_1) &= -\frac{1}{2} (F_{11} - p_k E_{11})^2 (v \otimes e_1) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (E_{11}^2 - E_{11})^2 (v \otimes e_1) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ((r+1)^2 - (r+1)) (v \otimes e_1) = \frac{1}{2} r(r+1) (v \otimes e_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to demonstrate that

$$\kappa_t(v_l) = \kappa_t(v_s \otimes e_l) = \kappa_k(\kappa_s(v_s \otimes e_l)) \neq 0.$$

It is not difficult to verify that if  $v$  is the highest weight vector, then

$$\pi_k^2(v \otimes e_l) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \leq i} [(E_{ik}^{(2)} v) \otimes e_k + p_k(F_{ik}^{(2)} v) \otimes e_k] + 2(\sum E_{kk} v) \otimes e_i,$$

where

$$E_{ik}^{(2)} = \sum_{k \leq j \leq i} (E_{ij} E_{jk} - F_{ij} F_{jk}), \quad F_{ik}^{(2)} = \sum_{k \leq j \leq i} (E_{ij} F_{jk} - F_{ij} E_{jk}).$$

Therefore,

$$\pi_k^2(v \otimes e_l) = \frac{1}{2} (E_{ll}^{(2)} - E_{ll} + 2(E_{11} + \dots + E_{ll})) v \otimes e_k + \dots,$$

where ... replace the sum of terms of the form  $u \otimes e_i$  with  $i < l$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k^2(v \otimes e_l) &= \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_l^2 - \lambda_l + 2(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_l)) v \otimes e_l + \dots = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ((r-l)(r-l-1) + 2(r + \dots + r - (l-1) + 1 + r - l)) v \otimes e_l + \dots = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (r^2 - r + 2l - 2) v \otimes e_l + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\kappa_k(v \otimes e_l) = \frac{1}{2} (r^2 + r - r^2 + r - 2l + 2) (v \otimes e_l) + \dots = (r - l + 1) (v \otimes e_l) + \dots \neq 0.$$

□

**3.2. Remark .** By [N2] (Th. 7.2) for any strict partition  $\mu$  there exist elements  $\psi_S \in R^\mu$ , where  $S$  is the standard tableau of type  $\mu$ , such that  $p\psi_S$  constitute a basis of  $R^\mu$  while  $p$  runs over  $C_n$ . Moreover, the action of the elements

$$x_k = \sum_{i < k} (s_{ik} + s_{ik} p_i p_k)$$

is given by the formula

$$x_k \psi_S = C_S(k) \psi_\Lambda,$$

where  $C_S(k) = \sqrt{(j-i)(j-i+1)}$  and  $k$  occupies the  $(i, j)$ -th slot.

In the above notations

$$x_k = \sqrt{2} p_k \pi_k,$$

hence,  $x_k^2 = 2\pi_k$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_t &= \prod_i \frac{1}{2} (j(j+1) - \pi_i^2) = \\ &= \prod_i \frac{1}{2} (j(j+1) - x_i^2) = 2^{-k} \prod_i (j(j+1) - x_i^2). \end{aligned}$$

As earlier, we assume that the tableau is filled in left to right and downwards along columns.

Let us show that if  $\mu \geq \lambda$ , then  $\kappa_t \psi_S = 0$  for any standard tableau  $S$  of type  $\mu$  and distinct from  $t$ .

Let  $k$  be the number occupying the last place of the last column in the tableau  $t$  (filled in left to right and downwards). Let  $t^*$  be the tableau obtained from  $t$  by deleting the box with  $k$ ; let  $S^*$  be similarly constructed from  $S$ . If  $\mu^* \geq \lambda^*$  (shapes of  $S^*$  and  $t^*$ , respectively), then the induction hypothesis applies. If  $\mu^* < \lambda^*$ , then  $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 + 1$  and  $k$  occupies the last

position of the first row of  $S$ . Hence, if  $j$  is the number of the column of  $t$  occupied by  $k$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_k^2(v_S) &= \frac{1}{2}(j+1-1)(j+1-1+1)v_S = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}j(j+1)v_S.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $(\frac{1}{2}j(j+1) - \pi_k^2)\psi_S = 0$  and  $\kappa_t\psi_S = 0$ .

This argument shows that  $\kappa_t$  is the projection in  $M^\lambda$  onto the subspace generated by  $\psi_t$ .

At the same time

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_k\psi_t &= \frac{1}{2}(j(j+1) - (j-i)(j-i+1))\psi_t = \\ &= (ij - \frac{1}{2}i(i-1))\psi_t \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

**3.3. Specht modules.** Recall that the *Specht module* for a strict partition  $\lambda$  is the submodule in  $M^\lambda$  generated by the vectors  $\kappa_tv_t$  for all tableaux  $t$ , where  $v_t$  is the vector whose stabilizer is equal to  $R_t$ .

**3.3.1. Theorem .** *Specht module is equal to  $R^\lambda$ . It is isotypical and its  $H_k$ -centralizer is isomorphic to the Clifford algebra with  $l(\lambda)$  generators.*

*Proof.* Let us realize  $R^\lambda$  as the set of highest weight vectors in  $V^{\otimes k}$ . By the Howe duality between  $U(\mathfrak{q}(V))$  and  $H_k$  the algebra of  $H_k$ -homomorphisms is generated by  $U(\mathfrak{q}(V))$ . But thanks to Lemma 2.2 we may assume that the algebra of  $H_k$ -homomorphisms is generated by  $U(\mathfrak{h})$  for the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{q}(V)$ . If  $\lambda_i = 0$ , then in our notations for the basis of  $\mathfrak{h}$  we have

$$F_{ii}^2 = E_{ii} = \lambda_i = 0 \text{ in } R^\lambda$$

and, therefore,  $\ker F_{ii}$  is an  $\mathfrak{h}$ -submodule in the set  $(V^\lambda)^+$  of highest weight vectors. But  $(V^\lambda)^+$  is irreducible as  $\mathfrak{h}$ -module; hence,  $F_{ii}|_{(V^\lambda)^+} = 0$  which implies  $F_{ii}|_{R^\lambda} = 0$ . Thus, the algebra of  $H_k$ -homomorphisms is generated by the  $F_{ii}$  for  $i$  such that  $\lambda_i \neq 0$ .

By Theorem 3.1 the Specht module is contained in  $R^\lambda$  and any homomorphism of  $M^\lambda$  sends  $R^\lambda$  into itself. Hence, the Specht module coincides with  $R^\lambda$ .  $\square$

**3.3.2. Corollary .** *Set  $p_t^i = \sum p_\alpha$ , where the  $\alpha$  belongs to the  $i$ -th column of tableau  $t$ . Then for any  $H_k$ -module endomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $M^\lambda$  we have*

$$\varphi(\kappa_tv_t) = f \cdot \kappa_tv_t,$$

where  $f$  belongs to the subalgebra of  $C_k$  generated by  $p_t^1, \dots, p_t^{l(\lambda)}$ .

*Proof.* Let us realize  $M^\lambda$  as the subset of vectors of weight  $\lambda$  in  $V^{\otimes k}$ . Then any endomorphism of  $M^\lambda$  may be identified with an element of  $U(\mathfrak{q}(V))$ ; the restriction of this endomorphism on  $R^\lambda$  may be identified with an element of  $U(\mathfrak{h})$ .

By Theorem 3.3.1 the endomorphism algebra of  $R^\lambda$  is generated by the  $F_{ii}$  and to prove the corollary, it suffices to verify it for these elements. We have

$$F_{ii}(\kappa_tv_t) = \kappa_t(F_{ii}v_t) = \kappa_t(p_t^i v_t) = p_t^i \kappa_t(v_t)$$

$\square$

**3.3.3. Corollary .** *Let  $\varphi : M^\lambda \longrightarrow M^\lambda$  be an  $H_k$ -module endomorphism given by the formula  $\varphi(v_t) = \rho_t \kappa_t(v_t)$ . Then  $\varphi|_{R^\lambda} = c \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us show that  $\varphi$  commutes with the endomorphisms  $F_{ii}$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi \cdot F_{ii}(v_t) &= \varphi(p_t^i v_t) = p_t^i \varphi(v_t) = p_t^i \rho_t \kappa_t(v_t); \\ F_{ii} \cdot \varphi(v_t) &= F_{ii} \rho_t \kappa_t(v_t) = \rho_t \kappa_t(F_{ii}v_t) = \\ \rho_t \kappa_t(p_t^i v_t) &= \rho_t p_t^i \kappa_t(v_t) = p_t^i \rho_t \kappa_t(v_t).\end{aligned}$$

The latter identity holds thanks to the fact that  $p_t^i$  commutes with  $\rho_t$ .

Thus,  $\varphi \cdot F_{ii}(v_t) = F_{ii} \cdot \varphi(v_t)$  and, since the elements  $v_t$  generate the  $H_k$ -module  $M^\lambda$ , we have

$$\varphi \cdot F_{ii} = F_{ii} \cdot \varphi.$$

Since  $\varphi(R^\lambda) \subset R^\lambda$  for any endomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $M^\lambda$ , it follows that  $\varphi|_{R^\lambda}$  is an element from the centralizer of  $R^\lambda$ . But by Theorem 3.3.1 the centralizer is the Clifford algebra  $C_{l(\lambda)}$ . But  $\varphi$  is an even central element of  $C_{l(\lambda)}$ , hence,  $\varphi$  is a constant.  $\square$

**3.3.4. Corollary .** *Set  $e_t = \kappa_t \rho_t$ . Then*

$$e_t^2 = c \cdot e_t \text{ for } c \in \mathbb{C}, c \neq 0$$

*and the algebra  $e_t H_k e_t$  is isomorphic to  $C_{l(\lambda)}$  and is generated by the  $p_t^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l(\lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* Thanks to Corollary 3.3.3

$$\varphi(\kappa_t(v_t)) = \kappa_t \rho_t \kappa_t(v_t) = c \kappa_t(v_t)$$

or, equivalently,

$$e_t^2 = c \cdot e_t.$$

Since the constant term of  $\kappa_t \rho_t$ , equal to the coefficient of  $v_t$  in  $\kappa_t(v_t)$ , is nonzero, as follows from the proof of Theorem 3.3.1, then the routine arguments with the help of the bases (cf. [W]) shows that  $c \neq 0$ .  $\square$

Moreover, the algebra  $e_t H_k e_t$  is *anti*-isomorphic to the algebra of  $H_k$ -endomorphism of the submodule of  $M^\lambda$  generated by  $\kappa_t(v_t)$ . Denote the latter module by  $R_1^\lambda$ . Thanks to Corollary 3.3.2 if  $\varphi$  is an endomorphism of  $R^\lambda$ , then  $\varphi(R_1^\lambda) \subset R_1^\lambda$  and the restriction map  $\varphi|_{\kappa_t(v_t)}$  determines an antiisomorphism of  $\text{End}_{H_k}(R^\lambda)$  into the algebra generated by the  $p_t^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l(\lambda)$ . But the latter algebra is isomorphic to  $C_{l(\lambda)}$ ; hence,  $\varphi|_{\kappa_t(v_t)}$  is an antiisomorphism and  $R_1^\lambda = R^\lambda$ .

#### §4. THE SPECHT MODULES OVER $\mathfrak{A}_k$

In this section we construct analogs of the modules  $M^\lambda$  and the Specht modules  $R^\lambda$  for  $\mathfrak{A}_k$ .

First, we need the following statement.

**4.1. Lemma .** *Let  $\pi_1 = \tau_{12}, \dots, \pi_2 = \tau_{13} + \tau_{23}, \dots, \pi_k = \sum_{\alpha < k} \tau_{\alpha k}$  be odd analogs of Jucys–Murphy’s elements. Then*

$$e_k = \prod_{i \geq 2} \frac{2}{i(i-1)} \pi_i^2$$

*is an idempotent and  $e_k \mathfrak{A}_k e_k$  is isomorphic to the Clifford algebra with  $k-1$  generators.*

*Proof.* It is easy to verify by induction that

$$e_k = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} (-1)^\alpha 2^{k-\alpha-1} \Sigma_{2\alpha+1},$$

where  $\Sigma_{2\alpha+1}$  is the sum of all elements from  $\mathfrak{A}_k$  of the form  $\tau_{i_1 i_2} \tau_{i_2 i_3} \dots \tau_{i_{2\alpha} i_{2\alpha+1}}$ . This implies that  $e_k$  is a central element that does not vary under the replacement of the sequence  $\pi_i = \sum_{\alpha < i} \tau_{\alpha i}$  with  $\pi_{\sigma(i)} = \sum_{\alpha < i} \tau_{\sigma(\alpha) \sigma(i)}$  for any  $i$  and  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k^*$ . It is not difficult to verify that

$$(\tau_{12} + \tau_{23} + \tau_{31}) \cdot (2 - \tau_{12} \tau_{23} + \tau_{13} \tau_{32}) = 0.$$

Since

$$2 - \tau_{12}\tau_{23} + \tau_{13}\tau_{32} = \pi_2^2 = (\tau_{13} + \tau_{23})^2$$

is a factor in the expression for  $e_k$ , it follows that

$$(\tau_{12} + \tau_{23} + \tau_{31})e_k = 0.$$

From symmetry considerations

$$(\tau_{ij} + \tau_{jl} + \tau_{li})e_k = 0 \text{ for any distinct } i, j, l \in \{1, \dots, k\}.$$

Further on,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i^2 &= (\sum \tau_{\alpha i})^2 = i - 1 - \sum (\tau_{\alpha\beta}\tau_{\beta i} + \tau_{\beta\alpha}\tau_{\alpha i}) = \\ &= i - 1 - (\sum (1 + \tau_{\alpha\beta}\tau_{\beta i} + \tau_{\beta\alpha}\tau_{\alpha i}) - 1) = \\ &= i - 1 + \frac{1}{2}(i - 1)(i - 2) - \sum \tau_{\alpha\beta}(\tau_{\alpha\beta} + \tau_{\beta i} + \tau_{i\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\pi_i^2 e_k = \frac{1}{2}(i - 1)(i - 2)e_k$  and, therefore,

$$e_k^2 = \left( \prod_{i \geq 2} \frac{2}{i(i - 1)} \pi_i^2 \right) e_k = e_k.$$

Furthermore, since  $e_k$  is a central element, then  $e_k \mathfrak{A}_k e_k = \mathfrak{A}_k e_k$ . Let  $I$  be the ideal in  $\mathfrak{A}_k$  generated by the elements  $\tau_{ij} + \tau_{jl} + \tau_{li}$ ; then  $e_n I = 0$ . Let  $\bar{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathfrak{A}_k / I$ . In  $\bar{\mathfrak{A}}$ , then, the following relations hold:

$$\tau_{12} = \pi_2, \tau_{23} = \frac{1}{2}(\pi_3 - \pi_2), \tau_{34} = \frac{1}{3}(\pi_4 - \pi_3), \dots, \tau_{k-1,k} = \frac{1}{k-1}(\pi_k - \pi_{k-1}).$$

Hence,  $\bar{\mathfrak{A}}$  is generated by the  $\pi_i$ . Above we showed that  $\pi_i^2 = \frac{1}{2}(i - 1)(i - 2)$  in  $\bar{\mathfrak{A}}$ . So  $\bar{\mathfrak{A}}$  is the Clifford algebra generated by the images of the  $\pi_i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k$ .

Further on,  $(1 - e_k)I = I$ ; so  $\mathfrak{A}_k(1 - e_k) \supset I$ , but since  $\bar{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a Clifford algebra (and, in particular, is simple), then  $\mathfrak{A}_k(1 - e_k) = I$  and, therefore,  $\mathfrak{A}_k e_k \cong \mathfrak{A}_k / (1 - e_k)\mathfrak{A}_k \cong \mathfrak{A}_k / I \cong \bar{\mathfrak{A}}$ , the Clifford algebra with  $k - 1$  generators.  $\square$

Let  $\lambda$  be a strict partition and  $t$  a  $\lambda$ -tableau. For  $1 \leq i \leq l(\lambda)$  set

$$p_t^i = \sum p_\alpha, \text{ where } \alpha \text{ runs over the entries of the } i\text{-th row of } t$$

and let  $\sigma_i$  be the element from  $\mathfrak{A}_k$  constructed as in Lemma 4.1 from the sequence of numbers that stand in the  $i$ -th row.

Let  $V$  be an  $(n, n)$ -dimensional superspace with  $n \geq l(\lambda)$  and  $W = V^{\otimes k}$ , where  $k = \sum \lambda_i$ . Let  $M^t$  be the subspace of  $W$  spanned by the vectors  $w \in W$  such that

$$E_{ii}w = \lambda_i w; \quad F_{ii}w = p_t^i w \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq l(\lambda).$$

Set also  $\sigma_t = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq l(\lambda)} \sigma_i$ ; let  $R^t$  be the set of highest weight vectors that belong to  $M^t$ .

**4.2. Theorem .** a) As  $\mathfrak{A}_k$ -module,  $M^t$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_k \sigma_t$ .

b) The  $\mathfrak{A}_k$ -submodule in  $M^t$  generated by the  $\kappa_{t'} v_{t'}$ , where  $t'$  has the same rows as  $t$ , is an isotypical one and its centralizer is isomorphic to the Clifford algebra  $C_{k-l(\lambda)}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma_t v_t = c \cdot v_t$ , heading a) is clear.

The facts  $v_t \in M^t$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_k v_t \subset R^t$  are also clear.

Let us show first of all that the centralizer of  $R^t$  is isomorphic to the Clifford algebra  $C_{k-l(\lambda)}$ .

Obviously,  $\mathfrak{A}_k$  and  $C_k \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}(V))$  form a Howe-dual pair in  $W$ . By Theorem 3.3.1 the centralizer of the  $H_k$ -module  $R^\lambda$  is the Clifford algebra generated by the  $F_{ii}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l(\lambda)$ .



Therefore, the centralizer of the  $\mathfrak{A}_k$ -module  $R^\lambda$  is the Clifford algebra  $C_{k+l(\lambda)}$  generated by the  $F_{ii}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l(\lambda)$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ .

The condition  $F_{ii}w = p_t^i w$  is equivalent to another one:  $ew = w$  for

$$e = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq l(\lambda)} \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} p_t^i F_{ii} \right) \text{ and } e^2 = e.$$

Therefore, the centralizer of  $R^t$  is isomorphic to  $eC_{k+l(\lambda)}e$ .

Let  $C_{k-l(\lambda)}$  be the subalgebra of  $C_k$  generated by the  $p_i - p_j$  for  $i, j$  that belong to the same row of  $t$ . Then it is not difficult to see that  $eC_{k+l(\lambda)}e \cong C_{k-l(\lambda)}$  and this proves that the centralizer of  $R^t$  is isomorphic to  $C_{k-l(\lambda)}$ .

Let us prove now that the submodule  $R_1^t$  of  $R^t$  generated by the elements  $\kappa_{t'}v_t$ , where  $t'$  has, up to permutations, the same rows as  $t$ , is isomorphic to  $R^t$ . To this end it suffices to prove that any endomorphism of  $R^t$  sends  $R_1^t$  into itself.

But, indeed, any endomorphism of  $R^t$  is a multiplication by  $p_i - p_j$  for  $i, j$  that belong to the same row of  $t$ . Now, it suffices to verify that  $(p_i - p_j)\kappa_{t'}v_t \in R_1^t$ . But

$$(p_i - p_j)\kappa_{t'}v_t = \sqrt{2}\tau_{ij}s_{ij}\kappa_{t'}v_t = -\sqrt{2}\tau_{ij}\kappa_{s_{ij}(t')}v_{t'}.$$

But the rows of  $s_{ij}t'$  consist of the same elements that constitute the rows of  $t$ . □

## REFERENCES

- [BL] Bernstein J., Leites D., The superalgebra  $Q(n)$ , the odd trace and the odd determinant. C.R. de l'acad bulg de Sci. v. 35, 1982, n.3, 285–286
- [Ja] James G., *The representation theory of the symmetric groups*, Lect. Notes Math. 682, 1978
- [J] Jucys A., Symmetric polynomials and the center of the symmetric group ring, Report Math. Phys. 5, 1974, 107–112
- [M] Murphy G., A new construction of the Young seminormal representations of the symmetric group, J. Algebra 69, 1981, 287–291
- [N1] Nazarov M., An orthogonal basis in the irreducible projective representations of the symmetric group, Funct. Anal. Appl., 22, 1988, n.2, 66–68
- [N2] Nazarov M., Young's symmetrizers for projective representations of the symmetric group, Adv. Math., 127, 1997, n.2, 190–257
- [Pe] Penkov I., Characters of the typical irreducible representations of finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ -modules (Russian), Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 20 (1986), n. 1, 37–45
- [P] Pragacz P., Algebro-geometric applications of Schur  $S$ - and  $Q$ - polynomials, Lect. Notes Math. 1478, 1991, 130–191
- [S1] Sergeev A., The tensor algebra of the standard representation as a module over the Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, m)$  and  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ , Math. Sbornik, 123 (165), 1984, n.3, 422–430
- [S2] Sergeev A., The center of the enveloping algebra for the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ , Lett. Math. Phys., 7, 1983, 177–179
- [W] Weyl H., *Classical groups, their invariants and representations*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1946

DEPT. OF MATH., UNIV. OF STOCKHOLM, ROSLAGSV. 101, KRÄFTRIKET HUS 6, S-106 91, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN. ON LEAVE OF ABSENCE FROM BALAKOVO INST. OF TECHNIQUE TECHNOLOGY AND CONTROL, E-MAIL: MLEITES@MATEMATIK.SU.SE (SUBJECT: FOR SERGEEV)